



The class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not finitely axiomatizable

Deko V. Dekov

Zahari Knjazevski 81, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

Communicated by P. Freyd; received 11 March 1996

Abstract

We prove that the class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not first-order finitely axiomatizable. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

1991 Math. Subj. Class.: 08C10, 08B25

1. Introduction

In 1927, Schreier [23] showed that a group amalgam is always embeddable in a group. In 1956, Jónsson [18, p. 206] gave an example to show that a semigroup amalgam need not be embeddable in a semigroup. In 1957, Kimura [19] (see also [3, Section 9.4]) showed that a commutative semigroup amalgam need not be embeddable in a semigroup.

Semigroup amalgams were first extensively studied by Howie [12–17] (see also [3, 11]). In 1975, Hall [9] showed that Schreier's theorem extends to the case of inverse semigroups.

In 1975, Lallement [20] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a semigroup amalgam $\mathcal{A} = [\{S_i; i \in I\}; U]$ to be embeddable in a semigroup. Lallement's condition is in the form of a countable set of equational implications with existential quantifiers and with variables taken from card I distinct sets. Lallement has shown that no finite set of such implications can serve as a necessary and sufficient condition for a semigroup amalgam to be embeddable.

In the present paper we prove (Theorem 1) that the class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not first-order finitely axiomatizable. In the proof of Theorem 1 we construct partial groupoids G_S and G_T . The union of the sets of multiplications of G_S and G_T is exactly the "length n zigzag of type I over U from $s \in S$ to $t \in T$ with spine $u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n, u_{n+1}$ " as defined by Hall [10, Section 8, Definition 5].

2. Preliminaries

A *partial groupoid* is a triple $G = (G, D, \mu)$, where G is a nonempty set, $D \subseteq G \times G$, and $\mu: D \rightarrow G$ is a mapping. Let $G = (G, D, \mu)$ and $H = (H, E, \nu)$ be partial groupoids. Denote $\mu(x, y)$ by $x \cdot y$ and $\nu(x, y)$ by $x * y$. A *homomorphism* of G into H is a mapping $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ such that $(x, y) \in D$ implies $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \in E$ and $\varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y)$, for all $x, y \in G$.

Let $G = (G, D, \mu)$ be a partial groupoid and let G^+ be the free semigroup on the set G . Let $\theta_0(G)$ be the relation on G^+ consisting of the pairs $(xy, \mu(x, y))$ for all $(x, y) \in D$. Let $\theta(G)$ be the congruence on G^+ generated by $\theta_0(G)$. Then $U(G) = G^+/\theta(G)$ is the *universal semigroup* of the partial groupoid G . For each word w of G^+ we denote by $w\theta$ the $\theta(G)$ -class of w .

Let G and H be partial groupoids. G is said to be *embeddable* into H if there is a one-to-one homomorphism of G into H . A partial groupoid G is said to be a *partial semigroup* [24] if it is embeddable in a semigroup.

Theorem A (Baer [1]). *A partial groupoid G is a partial semigroup if and only if it embeds in its universal semigroup $U(G)$, i.e., if and only if $x \sim y \pmod{\theta(G)}$ implies $x = y$, for all $x, y \in G$.*

Note that recently partial semigroups were studied by the author [5–8].

We shall examine the congruence $\theta(G)$ by considering elementary $\theta_0(G)$ -transitions as defined in [11, Ch. I, Section 5]. If $x, y \in G$, then $x \sim y \pmod{\theta(G)}$ if and only if either $x = y$ or for some $n \geq 1$ there is a sequence

$$x = w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow w_n = y$$

of elementary $\theta_0(G)$ -transitions connecting x to y ([11, Proposition I.5.10]). For an arbitrary sequence of elementary $\theta_0(G)$ -transitions we say that the number of elementary $\theta_0(G)$ -transitions in the sequence is the *length* of the sequence.

Let $G = (G, D, \mu)$ be a partial groupoid and let $0 \notin G$. We extend the multiplication of G to one of $G^0 = G \cup \{0\}$ by defining $x \circ y = \mu(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in D$ and making all other products equal to 0. A partial groupoid G is said to be an *Z-presemigroup* if G^0 is a semigroup.

We introduce the following conditions on a partial groupoid G :

(A1) For all $x, y, z \in G$, if $(x, y) \in D$ and $(x \cdot y, z) \in D$, then $(y, z) \in D$, $(x, y \cdot z) \in D$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$.

(A2) For all $x, y, z \in G$, if $(y, z) \in D$ and $(x, y \cdot z) \in D$, then $(x, y) \in D$, $(x \cdot y, z) \in D$ and $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$.

Theorem B (Conrad [4], see also [3, Lemma 3.7]). *A partial groupoid G is a Z-presemigroup if and only if it satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2).*

Clearly, a partial groupoid $G = (G, D, \mu)$ can be considered as a pair $M(G) = (G, R)$, where R is the ternary relation on G defined by $(x, y, z) \in R$ if and only if $(x, y) \in D$ and

$\mu(x, y) = z$. In the terminology of Malcev [21], $M(G)$ is the model corresponding to G . If we use a first-order language L consisting of one ternary relation symbol, μ say, we can describe the class of all partial semigroups as a quasivariety (in the sense of [21, 22]).

The following theorem is a corollary to Theorem A and Proposition I.5.10 of [11]:

Theorem C. *The class of all partial semigroups is a quasivariety (in the sense of [21, 22]) defined by an infinite set P of quasi-identities of the form*

$$\forall x \forall y \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_m [pv_1v_2x \wedge \dots \wedge pv_{s-1}v_sy \rightarrow x = y],$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_s \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$.

3. The class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not finitely axiomatizable

Let $\{S_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of semigroups, let U be a subsemigroup of S_i for all $i \in I$, and let $S_i \cap S_j = U$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$. The semigroup amalgam $\mathcal{A} = [\{S_i; i \in I\}; U]$ determines a partial groupoid $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{S_i; i \in I\}$ in which a product of two elements is defined if and only if they both belong to the same S_i and their product is then taken as their product in S_i [3, Section 9.4]. A semigroup amalgam \mathcal{A} is said to be *embeddable* in a semigroup if the partial groupoid $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ is a partial semigroup.

Theorem 1. *The class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not first-order finitely axiomatizable.*

Proof. Let P be the infinite set of quasi-identities defining the class of all partial semigroups and let P_0 be any finite subset of P . Let $n \geq 1$. We shall construct a semigroup amalgam $\mathcal{A}_n = [S_n, T_n; U_n]$ and a partial groupoid $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ such that $M(\mathcal{G}_n)$, that is, the model corresponding to \mathcal{G}_n , satisfies P_0 but not P . From this it follows that the class of all embeddable semigroup amalgams is not first-order finitely axiomatizable. We refer the reader to [2] for the fundamentals of first-order theories.

On the set $G_S = \{s, s_1, \dots, s_n, s'_1, \dots, s'_{n-1}, u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ we define a partial groupoid G_S by the multiplications

$$u_1s_1 = s, \quad v_ns_n = u_{n+1}, \quad v_is_i = u_{i+1}s_{i+1} = s'_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

On the set $G_T = \{t, t_1, \dots, t_n, t'_1, \dots, t'_{n-1}, u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ we define a partial groupoid G_T by the multiplications

$$t_1v_1 = u_1, \quad t_nv_{n+1} = t, \quad t_it_{i+1} = t_{i+1}v_{i+1} = t'_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

By using Theorem B, one can easily verify that the partial groupoids G_S and G_T are Z -presemigroups. Denote $S_n = G_S^0$ and $T_n = G_T^0$. Clearly, the zero semigroup on $U_n = \{0, u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a subsemigroup of S_n and T_n such that

$S_n \cap T_n = U_n$. Denote $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ by \mathcal{G}_n , $\theta_0(\mathcal{G}_n)$ by θ_0 , and $\theta(\mathcal{G}_n)$ by θ . One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} s_i\theta &= \{s_i\}, t_i\theta = \{t_i\}, v_i\theta = \{v_i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ s'_j\theta &= \{s'_j, v_j s_j, u_{j+1} s_{j+1}\}, t'_j\theta = \{t'_j, t_j u_{j+1}, t_{j+1} v_{j+1}\}, \\ j &= 1, \dots, n-1, u_1\theta = \{u_1, t_1 v_1\}, u_{n+1}\theta = \{u_{n+1}, v_n s_n\}, \\ u_k\theta &= \{u_k\}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The θ -class of 0 contains exactly one element of \mathcal{G}_n , namely 0. Hence each of the above θ -classes contains exactly one element of \mathcal{G}_n . But s and t belong to the same θ -class. The sequence of elementary θ_0 -transitions of minimal length from s to t has the form

$$s \rightarrow u_1 s_1 \rightarrow t_1 v_1 s_1 \rightarrow t_1 s'_1 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 s_2 \rightarrow t'_1 s_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t'_{n-1} s_n \rightarrow t_n v_n s_n \rightarrow t_n u_{n+1} \rightarrow t.$$

The above sequence (and hence the sequence of minimal length from t to s) has length $4n$.

Since s and t belong to the same θ -class, by Theorem A, the partial groupoid \mathcal{G}_n is not a partial semigroup. Hence $M(\mathcal{G}_n)$ does not satisfy P . For each quasi-identity $\psi \in P$ we denote by $l_p(\psi)$ the number of occurrences of the symbol p in ψ . Let $l_p(\psi) = n_\psi$, $\psi \in P_0$. Choose $n \geq n_\psi$, for all $\psi \in P_0$. Then $M(\mathcal{G}_n)$ satisfies P_0 but not P . This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

References

- [1] R. Baer, Free sums of groups and their generalizations, An analysis of the associative law, Amer. J. Math. 71 (1949) 706–742.
- [2] J. Barwise, Ed., Handbook of Mathematical Logic (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- [3] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, Vol. I, 1961, Vol. II, 1967).
- [4] P. Conrad, Generalized semigroup rings, J. Indian Math. Soc. 21 (1957) 73–95.
- [5] D.V. Dekov, The embedding of semigroup amalgams, J. Algebra 141 (1991) 158–161.
- [6] D.V. Dekov, Free products with amalgamation of semigroups, Semigroup Forum 46 (1993) 54–61.
- [7] D.V. Dekov, HNN extensions of semigroups, Semigroup Forum 49 (1994) 83–87.
- [8] D.V. Dekov, Embeddability and the word problem, J. Symbol. Logic, 60 (1995) 1194–1198.
- [9] T.E. Hall, Free products with amalgamation of inverse semigroups, J. Algebra 34 (1975) 375–385.
- [10] T.E. Hall, Representation extension and amalgamation for semigroups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 29 (1978) 309–334.
- [11] J.M. Howie, An Introduction to Semigroup Theory (Academic Press, London, 1976).
- [12] J.M. Howie, Embedding theorems with amalgamation for semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 12 (1962) 511–534.
- [13] J.M. Howie, An embedding theorem with amalgamation for cancellative semigroups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 6 (1963) 19–26.
- [14] J.M. Howie, Subsemigroups of amalgamated free products of semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 13 (1963) 672–686.
- [15] J.M. Howie, The embedding of semigroup amalgams, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 15 (1964) 55–68.
- [16] J.M. Howie, Subsemigroups of amalgamated free products of semigroup II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964) 537–544.
- [17] J.M. Howie, Commutative semigroup amalgams, J. Australian Math. Soc. 8 (1968) 609–630.

- [18] B. Jónsson, Universal relational systems, *Math. Scand.* 4 (1956) 193–208.
- [19] N. Kimura, On Semigroups, Ph.D. Thesis, Tulane University, 1957.
- [20] G. Lallement, Amalgamated products of semigroups: The embedding problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 206 (1975) 375–394.
- [21] A.I. Malcev, A few remarks on quasivarieties of algebraic systems, *Algebra i Logika* 5 (1966) 3–9; An English translation appears in: A.I. Malcev, *The Metamathematics of Algebraic Systems* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971) 416–421.
- [22] A.I. Malcev, *Algebraic Systems* (Nauka, Moscow, 1970) (in Russian); English translation (Springer, New York, 1973).
- [23] O. Schreier, Die Untergruppen der freien Gruppen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg Univ.* 5 (1927) 161–183.
- [24] J.-C. Spehner, Le demi-groupe librement engendré par un groupoïde partiel G et l'image homomorphe associative maximale de G , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 274A (1972) 940–943.